



Outstanding Responses to ExQ2

EN010125: Application by RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited for the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms Project

ExQ2: 13 May 2025

Responses due by Deadline 5: 23 May 2025

ExQ2	Question to:	Question
Traffic and transportation including public rights of way		
TT.2.3	Hull City Council (HCC)	<p>Assessment methodology – driver delay (capacity)</p> <p>Following discussions at ISH2, and as requested at EXQ1 [PD-014], are you satisfied with the approach towards the identification and modelling of sensitive junctions in relation to driver delay effects? If not, explain any outstanding concerns and the work required by the applicants to address them.</p> <p><i>HCC response:</i></p> <p><i>Hull City Council are in agreement with the junctions identified as sensitive in the context of driver delay (capacity) and can confirm that these were discussed and agreed at Expert Topic Group meetings between the applicant and officers of the Hull City Council local highway and local planning authorities.</i></p> <p><i>In terms of the approach to the modelling of sensitive junctions, Hull City Council consider it appropriate that such modelling is conducted at post-determination stage and in accordance with the commitments set out within revision 3 of the Applicant's submitted outline Construction Traffic Management Plan.</i></p>
TT.2.7	HCC	<p>Requirement 14 - CTMP</p> <p>The SoCG between the applicants and HCC [REP4-062] suggests outstanding disagreement on the wording of Requirement 14 and queries on the appropriate consultation of the relevant highway authorities as part of the oCTMP [REP4-046]. The applicants have updated the oCTMP [REP4-046] in response to your concerns raised. Can you clarify if the updated document has addressed your concerns? If it has not, explain why not.</p> <p><i>HCC response:</i></p> <p><i>Hull City Council considers the proposed arrangements for consultation with the relevant highway authorities as described within the updated oCTMP Revision 03 [REP4-046], and summarised within Table 1-2 therein, to be appropriate.</i></p>

OFFICIAL

ExQ2: 13 May 2025

Responses due by Deadline 5: 23 May 2025

ExQ2	Question to:	Question
		<p><i>However, Hull City Council remains of the view that the wording of Requirement 14 as set out in the updated oCTMP referred to is not fit for purpose, as the consultation requirements embedded within it do not correspond with, or sufficiently cover the range of consultation scenarios described within the document, and summarised within Table1-2, (e.g. under Section 4.6 of the oCTMP, where both Hull City Council and National Highways are proposed to be consulted).</i></p> <p><i>Subsequent to the submission of the updated oCTMP referred to, the Applicant in discussions and exchanges has proposed revised draft wording to Requirement 14, the latest version of which, shared on 9th May 2025, the Council has recognised as an effective response to the concerns previously raised.</i></p>